

# On the computation of the generalized inverse of a polynomial matrix

N. P. Karampetakis and P. Tzekis  
Department of Mathematics  
Aristotle University of Thessaloniki  
Thessaloniki 54006, Greece  
tel. (fax) ++31 997951  
email : karampetakis@ccf.auth.gr

July 1, 1998

## Abstract

The main purpose of this note is to present a quicker and less expensive in memory algorithm for the generalized inversion of polynomial matrices than the existing ones presented in [5] and [6].

## 1 Introduction

Consider the polynomial matrix

$$A(s) = A_0 + A_1s + \cdots + A_{q_1}s^{q_1} \in R[s]^{r \times m} \quad (1)$$

where  $A_i \in R^{r \times m}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, q_1$  where  $r \neq m$  or  $r = m$  and  $\det[A(s)] = 0$ . One of the most important numerical problems in linear system analysis and synthesis is the evaluation of the generalized inverse of  $A(s)$ . The reason of this interest is the large number of its implications in multivariable systems i.e. inverse systems [8], [8], [10], solutions of systems [5], solutions of matrix diophantine equations [5] which gives rise to numerous applications [7] etc. .

In case where  $A(s) = A_0 \in R^{r \times m}$  the problem has been investigated by [11] and a numerical algorithm for the computation of this matrix is later given by [1]. An algorithm for the evaluation of the generalized inverse of  $A(s) \in R[s]^{r \times m} \forall s \in R$  has later been proposed by [5] while an extension of this algorithm to the evaluation of the generalized inverse of  $A(s_1, s_2) \in R[s_1, s_2]^{r \times m} \forall (s_1, s_2) \in C^2$  has also been proposed by the same author [6]. Both algorithms presented in [5], [6] share the same disadvantage, they both depend on the degree of  $A(s)$  i.e.  $q_1$  (or the degree of  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  in  $A(s_1, s_2)$ ). More specifically the number of matrices embedded in the evaluation of the generalized inverse of  $A(s)$  is analogous to the square of the degree of  $A(s)$  i.e.  $\sum_{i=1}^r (iq_1 + 1)^2 + 2 \times (q_1 + 1)^2$ . Thus in case where for example there is only one big power of  $s$  in  $A(s) \in R[s]^{2 \times 3}$  i.e.  $s^{80}$ , and all the other powers of  $s$  are zero or one, then the number of matrices used for the evaluation of the generalized inverse of  $A(s)$  is about  $161^2 + 81^2 + 2 \times 81^2 = 45604$  with serious consequences in the speed and accuracy of the algorithm. In that case where big gaps are existing between the powers of  $s$  in  $A(s)$ , we propose in Section 3 an improved algorithm of the one presented in [5] and we extend this algorithm to the two variable case in Section 4. The whole theory is illustrated via an example in Section 5.

## 2 Preliminary Results.

An algorithm for the evaluation of the generalized inverse of  $A(s_1, s_2) \in R[s_1, s_2]^{r \times m} \forall (s_1, s_2) \in C^2$  has been proposed in [6]. The reduction of this algorithm in the one variable case is proposed in the sequel :

**Algorithm 1.** (Computation of the generalized inverse of  $A(s)$ )

*Step 1.* Consider the sequences  $\{p_1(s), p_2(s), \dots, p_r(s)\}$ ,  $\{R_0(s), R_1(s), \dots, R_{r-1}(s)\}$  constructed in the following way :

$$\begin{aligned}
R_0(s) &= I_r & a_1(s) &= -\frac{1}{1}tr [A(s)A(s)^*R_0(s)] \\
R_1(s) &= A(s)A(s)^*R_0(s) + a_1(s)I_r & a_2(s) &= -\frac{1}{2}tr [A(s)A(s)^*R_1(s)] \\
R_2(s) &= A(s)A(s)^*R_1(s) + a_2(s)I_r & a_3(s) &= -\frac{1}{3}tr [A(s)A(s)^*R_2(s)] \\
&\vdots & & \vdots \\
R_{r-1}(s) &= A(s)A(s)^*R_{r-2}(s) + a_{r-1}(s)I_r & a_r(s) &= -\frac{1}{r}tr [A(s)A(s)^*R_{r-1}(s)]
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where  $(*)$  denotes the conjugate transpose.

*Step 2.* If  $k \neq 0$  is the largest integer such that  $p_k(s) \neq 0$  for  $s \in L (\neq \emptyset) \subseteq C$ , then the generalized inverse of  $A(s)$  for those  $s \in L (\neq \emptyset) \subseteq C$  is given by

$$A^\dagger(s) = -\frac{A(s)^*R_{k-1}(s)}{p_k(s)} \tag{3}$$

else ( $k = 0$  is the largest integer such that  $p_k(s) \neq 0$ )  $A^\dagger(s) = 0$ . For those  $s \in C - L$  we use the same algorithm. ■

The above algorithm is a symbolical algorithm and can be used in symbolic packages like MAPLE or MATHEMATICA [3]. This algorithm can also be reduced following similar lines with [6] to a three-dimensional numerical algorithm as we can see in what follows.

**Algorithm 2.** (Computation of the generalized inverse of  $A(s)$ )

*Initialize :*

$$R_{0,0,0} = I_r$$

$$A_{j_1,0} = A_{j_1} \ \& \ A_{0,j_1}^* = A_{j_1}^*$$

*Boundary conditions :*

$$R_{0,j_1,j_2} = 0 \ \forall j_z > 0 \ z = 1, 2$$

$$R_{i,j_1,j_2} = 0 \ j_z = iq_1 + 1, iq_1 + 2, \dots, (r-1)q_1 \ z = 1, 2 \ \text{and} \ i = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$$

$$A_{j_1,j_2} = 0 \ \forall j_2 \neq 0 \ \& \ A_{j_1,j_2}^* = 0 \ \forall j_1 \neq 0$$

$$C_{j_1, j_2} = \sum_{n_1=0}^{j_1} \sum_{n_2=0}^{j_2} A_{j_1-n_1, j_2-n_2} A_{n_1, n_2}^*$$

$$j_1 = 0, 1, \dots, q_1 \text{ and } j_2 = 0, 1, \dots, q_1$$

(a) *Recursive relation for*  $p_i(s) = \sum_{j_1=0}^{iq_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{iq_2} p_{i, j_1, j_2} s^{j_1} \bar{s}^{-j_2}$  :

$$p_{i+1, j_1, j_2} = -\frac{1}{(i+1)} \text{trace} \left[ \sum_{n_1=0}^{j_1} \sum_{n_2=0}^{j_2} C_{j_1-n_1, j_2-n_2} R_{i, n_1, n_2} \right]$$

for  $j_1 = 0, 1, \dots, (i+1)q_1$ ,  $j_2 = 0, 1, \dots, (i+1)q_1$  and  $i = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$

(b) *Recursive relation for*  $R_i(s) = \sum_{j_1=0}^{iq_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{iq_2} R_{i, j_1, j_2} s^{j_1} \bar{s}^{-j_2}$  :

$$R_{i+1, j_1, j_2} = \left[ \sum_{n_1=0}^{j_1} \sum_{n_2=0}^{j_2} C_{j_1-n_1, j_2-n_2} R_{i, n_1, n_2} \right] + p_{i+1, j_1, j_2} I_r \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \dots, (i+1)q_1$$

for  $j_1 = 0, 1, \dots, (i+1)q_1$ ,  $j_2 = 0, 1, \dots, (i+1)q_1$  and  $i = 0, 1, \dots, r-2$

*Terminate :*

$$\text{FIND } k : p_{k+1}(s) = p_{k+2}(s) = \dots = p_r(s) = 0$$

$$\text{or } p_{k+1, j_1, j_2} = p_{k+2, j_1, j_2} = \dots = p_{r, j_1, j_2} \quad \forall j_z \in N$$

*Define*

$$R_{j_1, j_2} = R_{r-1, j_1, j_2} \text{ for } j_1 = 0, 1, \dots, (r-1)q_1 \text{ and } j_2 = 0, 1, \dots, (r-1)q_1$$

$$p_{j_1, j_2} = p_{r, j_1, j_2} \text{ for } j_1 = 0, 1, \dots, (r-1)q_1 \text{ and } j_2 = 0, 1, \dots, (r-1)q_1$$

OUTPUT :

$$A^\dagger(s) = -\frac{A(s)^* R_{k-1}(s)}{p_k(s)} = -\frac{\left( \sum_{j_2=0}^{q_1} A_{0,j_2}^* s^{-j_2} \right) \left( \sum_{j_1=0}^{(k-1)q_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{(k-1)q_1} R_{j_1,j_2} s^{j_1} s^{-j_2} \right)}{\sum_{j_1=0}^{kq_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{kq_1} p_{j_1,j_2} s^{j_1} s^{-j_2}} \quad (4)$$

If  $j_2 > j_1$  then

substitute  $s^{j_1} s^{-j_2}$  in (4) for  $|s|^{j_1} s^{-j_2-j_1}$

else

substitute  $s^{j_1} s^{-j_2}$  in (4) for  $|s|^{j_2} s^{-j_1-j_2}$

endif ■

In case however where the degree of  $A(s)$  e.g.  $q_1$ , is large enough, then in order to execute the above algorithm we need enough a) computer memory so that to keep all the matrices  $A_i$  and b) CPU time. Similar problems we have also a) in the evaluation of the inverse of a square one variable polynomial matrix presented by [2] or of a square  $n$ -variable matrix presented by [4] and b) in the evaluation of the generalized inverse of a non-square two-variable polynomial matrix [6].

**Example 1.** Consider the polynomial matrix

$$A(s) = \begin{bmatrix} s^{80} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & s & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{A_{80}} s^{80} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{A_1} s + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{A_0}$$

For the computation of the above polynomial matrix, following the above algorithm, we need to keep in memory the  $81^2 = 6561$  matrices  $A_{j_1,j_2}$ , the  $81^2 = 6561$  matrices  $C_{j_1,j_2}$ , the  $81^2 = 6561$  matrices  $R_{1,j_1,j_2}$  and the  $161^2 = 25921$  products

$$\sum_{n_1=0}^{j_1} \sum_{n_2=0}^{j_2} C_{j_1-n_1,j_2-n_2} R_{1,n_1,n_2}$$

even if most of the above matrices are zero. Obviously the execution time will be analogous to the number of matrices which we use and the rank of  $A(s)$ . ■

In order to overcome these difficulties we propose in Section 3 an improvement of Algorithm 2, for the computation of the generalized inverse of one-variable polynomial matrices, while in Section 4 we extend this algorithm to the two-variable case.

### 3 Computation of the generalized inverse of one-variable polynomial matrix.

Define the following "sum" :

$$(\mu_1, \mu_2) \oplus (\nu_1, \nu_2) = (\mu_1 + \nu_1, \mu_2 + \nu_2)$$

and "power" :

$$(s_1, s_2)^{(\nu_1, \nu_2)} = s_1^{\nu_1} \times s_2^{\nu_2}$$

Define also as :

$\Phi_A = \{(\mu_i, 0) : \text{the set of degrees of nonzero coefficient matrices of } A(s)\} =$

$\Phi_A(i) = \text{the } i\text{th element of } \Phi_A \text{ (let } (\mu_i, 0))$

$\bar{\Phi}_A(i) = (0, \mu_i) = \text{the dual of the } i\text{th element of } \Phi_A$

$n_A = q = \text{the total number of elements in } \Phi_A$

Now by setting  $s_1 = s$  and  $s_2 = \bar{s}$  we can rewrite  $A(s)$  as follows :

$$A(s) = \sum_{i=1}^q A_{\Phi_A(i)}(s_1, s_2)^{\Phi_A(i)} \quad (5)$$

$$A(s)^* = \sum_{i=1}^q A_{\bar{\Phi}_A(i)}^*(s_1, s_2)^{\bar{\Phi}_A(i)} \quad (6)$$

where  $(^*)$  denotes the conjugate and  $A_{\Phi_A(i)} \neq 0_{r,m} \forall i \in q$ . Let also

$$R_i(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} R_{i,\Phi_i(j)}(s_1, s_2)^{\Phi_i(j)} \quad (7)$$

$$p_i(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} p_{i,\Phi_i(j)}(s_1, s_2)^{\Phi_i(j)} \quad (8)$$

where

$\Phi_i$  = the set of degrees of nonzero matrices of  $R_i(s)$

$\Phi_i(j)$  = the  $j$ th element of  $\Phi_i$

$n_i$  = the total number of elements in  $\Phi_i$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} A(s)A(s)^* &= \left( \sum_{j=1}^q A_{\Phi_A(j)}(s_1, s_2)^{\Phi_A(j)} \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^q A_{\Phi_A(j)}^*(s_1, s_2)^{\bar{\Phi}_A(j)} \right) = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{k=1}^q A_{\Phi_A(j)} A_{\Phi_A(k)}^*(s_1, s_2)^{\Phi_A(j) \oplus \bar{\Phi}_A(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_{AA^*}} C_{\Phi_{AA^*}(j)}(s_1, s_2)^{\Phi_{AA^*}(j)} \quad (9) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi_{AA^*} = \Phi_{AA^*} \cup \left\{ \Phi_A(j) \oplus \bar{\Phi}_A(k) \right\}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$  and  $k = q + 1, q + 2, \dots, 2q$

and

$\tilde{n}_{AA^*}$  = the total number of elements in  $\Phi_{AA^*}$

We subtract in the sequel from  $\Phi_{AA^*}$  those degrees  $\Phi_{AA^*}(j)$  which correspond to zero matrices  $C_{\Phi_{AA^*}(j)}$  and we form the new set  $\Phi_{AA^*}$  with total number of elements, let  $n_{AA^*}$ . Then from (9) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
A(s)A(s)^*R_i(s) &= \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n_{AA^*}} C_{\Phi_{AA^*}(j)}(s_1, s_2)^{\Phi_{AA^*}(j)} \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} R_{i, \Phi_i(j)}(s_1, s_2)^{\Phi_i(j)} \right) = \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n_{AA^*}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} C_{\Phi_{AA^*}(j)} R_{i, \Phi_i(k)}(s_1, s_2)^{\Phi_{AA^*}(j) \oplus \Phi_i(k)} \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\Phi_{i+1} = \Phi_{i+1} \cup \{\Phi_{AA^*}(j) \oplus \Phi_i(k)\}$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_{AA^*}$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n_i$

Following similar way with the above product e.g.  $A(s)A(s)^*$ , we subtract from the set  $\Phi_{i+1}$   $i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$  those degrees  $\Phi_{i+1}(j)$  which corresponds to zero products  $C_{\Phi_{AA^*}(j)}R_{i, \Phi_i(k)}$  and we form the new set  $\Phi_{i+1}$  with total number of elements  $n_{i+1}$  instead of  $\tilde{n}_{i+1}$  which the previous  $\Phi_{i+1}$  had.

Substituting (7), (8) and (10) in the recursive relations (2) we obtain the following recursive algorithm that determines  $p_{i+1, \Phi_{i+1}(j)}$  and  $R_{i+1, \Phi_{i+1}(j)}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_i$ .

**Algorithm 3.** (Computation of the generalized inverse of  $A(s)$ )

*Initialize :*

$$R_{0, (0,0)} = I_m$$

*Boundary conditions :*

$$\Phi_i = \{(0, 0)\}, n_i = 1 \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, r$$

$$\Phi_A = \{(\mu_1, 0), (\mu_2, 0), \dots, (\mu_q, 0)\} =$$

= the set of degrees of nonzero coefficient matrices of  $A(s)$

$$n_A = q = \text{the total number of elements in } \Phi_A$$



*Main Program*

*Step 1.* Computation of  $A(s_1)A(s_2)^*$ .

*Step 1.1* Computation of a) the coefficient matrix which correspond to the  $\Phi_A(j) \oplus \bar{\Phi}_A(k)$ -degree of  $(s_1, s_2)$  in  $A(s_1)A(s_2)^*$  and b) the set  $\Phi_{AA^*}$  in terms of  $\Phi_A$ :

$$C_{\Phi_A(j) \oplus \bar{\Phi}_A(k)} = C_{\Phi_A(j) \oplus \bar{\Phi}_A(k)} + A_{\Phi_A(j)} A_{\Phi_A(k)}^*$$

$$\Phi_{AA^*} = \Phi_{AA^*} \cup \{ \Phi_A(j) \oplus \bar{\Phi}_A(k) \}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_A$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n_A$ .

*Step 1.2* Computation of the total number of elements in  $\Phi_{AA^*}$

$$\tilde{n}_{AA^*} = \text{the total number of elements in } \Phi_{AA^*}$$

*Step 1.3* Set  $s = 0$  and apply for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, \tilde{n}_{AA^*}$

If  $C_{\Phi_{AA^*}(j)} = 0$  then  $\Phi_{AA^*} = \Phi_{AA^*} - \{ \Phi_{AA^*}(j) \}$  and  $s = s + 1$

*Step 1.4* Set  $n_{AA^*} = \tilde{n}_{AA^*} - s$ .

*Step 2.* Apply for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r - 1$  the following steps

*Step 2.1.* Computation of a) the coefficient matrix which correspond to the  $\Phi_{AA^*}(j) \oplus \Phi_i(k)$ -degree of  $(s_1, s_2)$  in  $A(s_1)A(s_2)^* R_i(s_1, s_2)$  and b) the set  $\Phi_{i+1}$  in terms of  $\Phi_{AA^*}$  and  $\Phi_i$

$$Q_{\Phi_{AA^*}(j) \oplus \Phi_i(k)} = Q_{\Phi_{AA^*}(j) \oplus \Phi_i(k)} + C_{\Phi_{AA^*}(j)} R_{i, \Phi_i(k)}$$

$$\Phi_{i+1} = \Phi_{i+1} \cup \{ \Phi_{AA^*}(j) \oplus \Phi_i(k) \}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_{AA^*}$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n_i$

*Step 2.2.* Computation of the total number of elements in  $\Phi_{i+1}$

$$\tilde{n}_{i+1} = \text{total number of elements in } \Phi_{i+1}$$

*Step 2.3.* Computation of  $p_{i+1, \Phi_{i+1}(j)}$  and  $R_{i+1, \Phi_{i+1}(j)}$  :

Set  $s = 0$

For  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_{i+1}$

If  $Q_{\Phi_{i+1}(j)} = 0$  then

$$\Phi_{i+1} = \Phi_{i+1} - \{\Phi_{i+1}(j)\} \text{ and } s = s + 1$$

else

$$p_{i+1, \Phi_{i+1}(j)} = -\frac{1}{i+1} \text{tr} [Q_{\Phi_{i+1}(j)}]$$

If  $i < r - 1$  then  $R_{i+1, \Phi_{i+1}(j)} = Q_{\Phi_{i+1}(j)} + p_{i+1, \Phi_{i+1}(j)} I_r$

Set  $Q_{\Phi_{i+1}(j)} = 0$

endif

Next  $j$

$n_{i+1} = n_{i+1} - s$

*Terminate :*

FIND  $k : p_{k+1, \Phi_{k+1}(j)} = p_{k+2, \Phi_{k+2}(j)} = \dots = p_{r, \Phi_r(j)} = 0$

WHILE  $\exists j : p_{k, \Phi_k(j)} \neq 0$

Define

$$R_{\Phi_{k-1}(i)} = R_{k-1, \Phi_{k-1}(i)} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n_{k-1}$$

$$p_{\Phi_k(i)} = p_{k, \Phi_k(i)} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n_k$$

*OUTPUT :* The generalized inverse of  $A(s)$  will be

$$A^\dagger(s_1, s_2) = -\frac{A(s_1, s_2)^* R_{k-1}(s_1, s_2)}{p_k(s_1, s_2)} = \quad (11)$$

$$= - \frac{\left( \sum_{j=1}^q A_{\Phi_A(j)}^* (s_1, s_2)^{\Phi_A(j)} \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n_{k-1}} R_{\Phi_{k-1}(j)} (s_1, s_2)^{\Phi_{k-1}(j)} \right)}{\left( \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} p_{\Phi_k(j)} (s_1, s_2)^{\Phi_k(j)} \right)}$$

for those  $s \in L (\neq \emptyset) : p_k(s) \neq 0$ .

If  $\Phi_{k-1}(j) = (\mu_j, \nu_j)$  and  $\mu_j > \nu_j$  then

substitute  $(s_1, s_2)^{\Phi_{k-1}(j)}$  in (11) for  $|s|^{\nu_j} \frac{-\mu_j - \nu_j}{s}$

else

substitute  $(s_1, s_2)^{\Phi_{k-1}(j)}$  in (11) for  $|s|^{\mu_j} \frac{-\nu_j - \mu_j}{s}$

endif

For those  $s \in C - L$  we use the same algorithm. ■

It is easily seen that the above algorithm use in computations only the nonzero coefficient matrices of  $A(s)$ . This is a big advantage in cases where the degrees involved on  $A(s)$  are big enough or they have big enough gaps between each other. In all other cases the proposed Algorithm 2, is better, since no extra controls are needed for the set of degrees of nonzero coefficient matrices of  $A(s)R_i(s)$ . Actually the above algorithm uses  $n_A$  matrices of the form  $A_{\Phi_A(j)}$ ,  $\tilde{n}_{AA^*}$  matrices of the form  $C_{\Phi_{AA^*}(j)}$ ,  $\max \left\{ \tilde{n}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r \right\}$  matrices of the form  $Q_{\Phi_i(j)}$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \tilde{n}_i$  matrices of the form  $R_{i,\Phi_i(j)}$

$$\text{i.e. } n_A + \tilde{n}_{AA^*} + \max \left\{ \tilde{n}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \tilde{n}_i \text{ matrices}$$

The above algorithm remains the same in case where the polynomial matrix  $A(s) \in C[s]^{r \times m}$  e.g. the only change it needs is in the definition of the set  $\Phi_A$ . An extension of the above algorithm in the 2-D case is proposed in the following section.

## 4 Computation of the generalized inverse of a two-variable polynomial matrix.

Define the following "sum" :

$$(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4) \oplus (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4) = (\mu_1 + \nu_1, \mu_2 + \nu_2, \mu_3 + \nu_3, \mu_4 + \nu_4)$$

and "power" :

$$(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4)^{(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4)} = s_1^{\nu_1} \times s_2^{\nu_2} \times s_3^{\nu_3} \times s_4^{\nu_4}$$

Define also as :

$$\Phi_A = \{(\mu_i, \nu_i, 0, 0) : \text{the set of degrees of nonzero coefficient matrices of } A(s_1, s_2)\} =$$

$$\Phi_A(i) = \text{the } i\text{th element of } \Phi_A \text{ (let } (\mu_i, \nu_i, 0, 0))$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_A(i) = (0, 0, \mu_i, \nu_i) = \text{the dual of the } i\text{th element of } \Phi_A$$

$$n_A = q = \text{the total number of elements in } \Phi_A$$

Now by setting  $s_3 = \bar{s}_1$  and  $s_4 = \bar{s}_2$  we can rewrite  $A(s_1, s_2)$  as follows :

$$A(s_1, s_2) = \sum_{i=1}^q A_{\Phi_A(i)}(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4)^{\Phi_A(i)} \quad (12)$$

$$A(s_1, s_2)^* = \sum_{i=1}^q A_{\Phi_A(i)}^*(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4)^{\bar{\Phi}_A(i)} \quad (13)$$

where (\*) denotes the conjugate and  $A_{\Phi_A(i)} \neq 0_{r,m} \forall i \in q$ .

Then applying the same techniques with the ones described above we get the following algorithm for the computation of the inverse of  $A(s_1, s_2)$  :

**Algorithm 4.** (Computation of the generalized inverse of  $A(s_1, s_2)$ )

*Initialize :*

$$R_{0,(0,0,0,0)} = I_m$$

*Boundary conditions :*

$$\Phi_i = \{(0, 0, 0, 0)\}, n_i = 1 \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, r$$

$$\Phi_A = \{(\mu_1, \nu_1, 0, 0), (\mu_2, \nu_2, 0, 0), \dots, (\mu_q, \nu_q, 0, 0)\} =$$

= the set of degrees of nonzero coefficient matrices of  $A(s)$

$$n_A = q = \text{the total number of elements in } \Phi_A$$

*Main Program*

*Step 1.* Computation of  $A(s_1, s_2)A(s_3, s_4)^*$ .

*Step 1.1* Computation of a) the coefficient matrix which correspond to the  $\Phi_A(j) \oplus \bar{\Phi}_A(k)$ -degree of  $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4)$  in  $A(s_1, s_2)A(s_3, s_4)^*$  and b) the set  $\Phi_{AA^*}$  in terms of  $\Phi_A$ :

$$C_{\Phi_A(j) \oplus \bar{\Phi}_A(k)} = C_{\Phi_A(j) \oplus \bar{\Phi}_A(k)} + A_{\Phi_A(j)} A_{\Phi_A(k)}^*$$

$$\Phi_{AA^*} = \Phi_{AA^*} \cup \{\Phi_A(j) \oplus \bar{\Phi}_A(k)\}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_A$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n_A$ .

*Step 1.2* Computation of the total number of elements in  $\Phi_{AA^*}$

$$\tilde{n}_{AA^*} = \text{the total number of elements in } \Phi_{AA^*}$$

*Step 1.3* Set  $s = 0$  and apply for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, \tilde{n}_{AA^*}$

If  $C_{\Phi_{AA^*}(j)} = 0$  then  $\Phi_{AA^*} = \Phi_{AA^*} - \{\Phi_{AA^*}(j)\}$  and  $s = s + 1$

*Step 1.4*  $n_{AA^*} = \tilde{n}_{AA^*} - s$

*Step 2.* Apply for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r - 1$  the following steps

*Step 2.1.* Computation of a) the coefficient matrix which correspond to the  $\Phi_{AA^*}(j) \oplus \Phi_i(k)$ -degree of  $(s_1, s_2)$  in  $A(s_1, s_2)A(s_3, s_4)^* R_i(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4)$  and b) the set  $\Phi_{i+1}$  in terms of  $\Phi_{AA^*}$  and  $\Phi_i$

$$Q_{\Phi_{AA^*}(j) \oplus \Phi_i(k)} = Q_{\Phi_{AA^*}(j) \oplus \Phi_i(k)} + C_{\Phi_{AA^*}(j)} R_{i, \Phi_i(k)}$$

$$\Phi_{i+1} = \Phi_{i+1} \cup \{\Phi_{AA^*}(j) \oplus \Phi_i(k)\}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_{AA^*}$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n_i$

*Step 2.2.* Computation of the total number of elements in  $\Phi_{i+1}$

$$\tilde{n}_{i+1} = \text{total number of elements in } \Phi_{i+1}$$

*Step 2.3.* Computation of  $p_{i+1, \Phi_{i+1}(j)}$  and  $R_{i+1, \Phi_{i+1}(j)}$  :

Set  $s = 0$

For  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_{i+1}$

If  $Q_{\Phi_{i+1}(j)} = 0$  then

$$\Phi_{i+1} = \Phi_{i+1} - \{\Phi_{i+1}(j)\} \text{ and } s = s + 1$$

else

$$p_{i+1, \Phi_{i+1}(j)} = -\frac{1}{i+1} \text{tr} [Q_{\Phi_{i+1}(j)}]$$

$$\text{If } i < r - 1 \text{ then } R_{i+1, \Phi_{i+1}(j)} = Q_{\Phi_{i+1}(j)} + p_{i+1, \Phi_{i+1}(j)} I_r$$

$$\text{Set } Q_{\Phi_{i+1}(j)} = 0$$

endif

Next  $j$

$$n_{i+1} = n_{i+1} - s$$

*Terminate :*

$$\text{FIND } k : p_{k+1, \Phi_{k+1}(j)} = p_{k+2, \Phi_{k+2}(j)} = \dots = p_{r, \Phi_r(j)} = 0$$

$$\text{WHILE } \exists j : p_{k, \Phi_k(j)} \neq 0$$

Define

$$R_{\Phi_{k-1}(i)} = R_{k-1, \Phi_{k-1}(i)} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n_{k-1}$$

$$p_{\Phi_k(i)} = p_{k, \Phi_k(i)} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n_k$$

*OUTPUT* : The generalized inverse of  $A(s_1, s_2)$  will be

$$\begin{aligned} A^\dagger(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) &= -\frac{A(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4)^* R_{k-1}(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4)}{p_k(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4)} = \quad (14) \\ &= -\frac{\left( \sum_{j=1}^q A_{\Phi_A(j)}^* (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) \bar{\Phi}_A(j) \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n_{k-1}} R_{\Phi_{k-1}(j)}(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4)^{\Phi_{k-1}(j)} \right)}{\left( \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} p_{\Phi_k(j)}(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4)^{\Phi_k(j)} \right)} \end{aligned}$$

for those  $(s_1, s_2) \in L (\neq \emptyset) : p_k(s_1, s_2) \neq 0$ .

If  $\Phi_{k-1}(j) = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4)$  then

If  $\mu_3 > \mu_1$  then

substitute  $s_1^{\mu_1} \times s_3^{\mu_3}$  in (14) for  $|s_1|^{\mu_1} \frac{-\mu_3 - \mu_1}{s_1}$

else

substitute  $s_1^{\mu_1} \times s_3^{\mu_3}$  in (14) for  $|s_1|^{\mu_3} \frac{-\mu_1 - \mu_3}{s_1}$

endif

If  $\mu_4 > \mu_2$  then

substitute  $s_2^{\mu_2} \times s_4^{\mu_4}$  in (14) for  $|s_2|^{\mu_2} \frac{-\mu_4 - \mu_2}{s_2}$

else

substitute  $s_2^{\mu_2} \times s_4^{\mu_4}$  in (14) for  $|s_2|^{\mu_4} \frac{-\mu_2 - \mu_4}{s_2}$

endif

endif

For those  $s \in C - L$  we use the same algorithm. ■

The algorithm remains the same for the case where  $A(s_1, s_2) \in C[s_1, s_2]^{r \times m}$  with the only change in the definition of  $\Phi_A$  in Step 1. It is easily seen that the total number of matrices used by the above algorithm is :

$$n_A + \tilde{n}_{AA^*} + \max \left\{ \tilde{n}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \tilde{n}_i$$

Similar algorithms can be applied for the evaluation of the generalized inverse of a polynomial matrix with more variables. An illustrated example for the above theory is given below.

## 5 Illustrative Example

Consider the polynomial matrix  $A(s)$  of example 1 :

$$A(s) = \begin{bmatrix} s^{80} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & s & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{A_{80}} s^{80} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{A_1} s + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{A_0}$$

We apply the Algorithm 3 for the evaluation of the generalized inverse of  $A(s)$  :

*Initialize :*

$$R_{\mathbf{0},(0,0)} = I_3$$

*Boundary conditions :*

$$\Phi_0 = \Phi_1 = \Phi_2 = \{(0,0)\}, n_0 = n_1 = n_2 = 1$$

$$\Phi_A = \{(0,0), (1,0), (80,0)\} =$$

the set of degrees of nonzero coefficient matrices of  $A(s)$

$$n_A = 3 = \text{the total number of elements in } \Phi_A$$

*Main Program*

*Step 1* Computation of  $A(s_1)A(s_2)^*$

*Step 1.1* Computation of a) the coefficient matrix  $C_{\Phi_A(j) \oplus \bar{\Phi}_A(k)}$  which correspond to the  $\Phi_A(j) \oplus \bar{\Phi}_A(k)$ -degree of  $(s_1, s_2)$  in  $A(s_1)A(s_2)^*$  and b) the set  $\Phi_{AA^*}$  in terms of  $\Phi_A$ :

$$C_{(0,0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} ; C_{(0,1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} ; C_{(0,80)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



$$C_{(1,0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} ; C_{(1,1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} ; C_{(1,80)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C_{(80,0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} ; C_{(80,1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} ; C_{(80,80)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{AA^*} = \{(0,0), (0,1), (0,80), (1,0), (1,1), (1,80), (80,0), (80,1), (80,80)\}$$

*Step 1.2* Computation of the total number of elements in  $\Phi_{AA^*}$

$$\tilde{n}_{AA^*} = 9$$

*Step 1.3*  $C_{(0,80)} = C_{(1,80)} = C_{(80,0)} = C_{(80,1)} = 0$  and thus

$$\Phi_{AA^*} = \Phi_{AA^*} - \{(0,80), (1,80), (80,0), (80,1)\} = \{(0,0), (0,1), (1,0), (1,1), (80,80)\}$$

*Step 1.4*

$$n_{AA^*} = \tilde{n}_{AA^*} - 4 = 9 - 4 = 5$$

*Step 2.* Apply for  $i = 0$  the following steps

*Step 2.1.* Computation of a) the coefficient matrix  $Q_{\Phi_{AA^*}(j) \oplus \Phi_0(k)}$  which correspond to the  $\Phi_{AA^*}(j) \oplus \Phi_0(k)$ -degree of  $(s_1, s_2)$  in  $A(s_1)A(s_2)^*R_0(s_1, s_2)$  and b) the set  $\Phi_1$  in terms of  $\Phi_{AA^*}$  and  $\Phi_0$

For  $k = 1$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, 5$

$$Q_{(0,0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} ; Q_{(0,1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} ; Q_{(1,0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q_{(1,1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} ; Q_{(80,80)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_1 = \{(0,0), (0,1), (1,0), (1,1), (80,80)\}$$

*Step 2.2.* Computation of the total number of elements in  $\Phi_1$

$$\tilde{n}_1 = 5$$

*Step 2.3.* Computation of  $p_{1,\Phi_1(j)}$  and  $R_{1,\Phi_1(j)}$  :

$$p_{1,(0,0)} = -2 ; p_{1,(0,1)} = 0 ; p_{1,(1,0)} = 0 ; p_{1,(1,1)} = -1 ; p_{1,(80,80)} = -1$$

$$R_{1,(0,0)} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} ; R_{1,(0,1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} ; R_{1,(1,0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_{1,(1,1)} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} ; R_{1,(80,80)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q_{(0,0)} := 0, Q_{(1,0)} := 0, Q_{(0,1)} := 0, Q_{(1,1)} := 0, Q_{(80,80)} := 0$$

$$n_1 = \tilde{n}_1 - 0 = 5$$

Apply for  $i = 1$  the following steps

*Step 2.1.* Computation of a) the coefficient matrix  $Q_{\Phi_{AA^*}(j) \oplus \Phi_1(k)}$  which correspond to the  $\Phi_{AA^*}(j) \oplus \Phi_1(k)$ -degree of  $(s_1, s_2)$  in  $A(s_1)A(s_2)^*R_1(s_1, s_2)$  and b) the set  $\Phi_2$  in terms of  $\Phi_{AA^*}$  and  $\Phi_2$

For  $k = 1$  and  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$

$$Q_{(0,0)} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} ; Q_{(0,1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} ; Q_{(1,0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q_{(1,1)} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} ; Q_{(80,80)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_2 = \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1), (80, 80)\}$$

For  $k = 2$  and  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$

$$Q_{(0,1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} ; Q_{(0,2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} ; Q_{(1,1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q_{(1,2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} ; Q_{(80,81)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_2 = \{(0,0), (0,1), (1,0), (1,1), (80,80), (0,2), (1,2), (80,81)\}$$

For  $k = 3$  and  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$

$$Q_{(1,0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} ; Q_{(1,1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} ; Q_{(2,0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q_{(2,1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} ; Q_{(81,80)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_2 = \{(0,0), (0,1), (1,0), (1,1), (80,80), (0,2), (1,2), (80,81), (2,0), (2,1), (81,80)\}$$

For  $k = 4$  and  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$

$$Q_{(1,1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} ; Q_{(1,2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} ; Q_{(2,1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q_{(2,2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} ; Q_{(81,81)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (0,0), (0,1), (1,0), (1,1), (80,80), (0,2), (1,2), (80,81), (2,0), (2,1), \\ (81,80), (2,2), (81,81) \end{array} \right\}$$

For  $k = 5$  and  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$

$$Q_{(80,80)} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} ; Q_{(80,81)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} ; Q_{(81,80)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q_{(81,81)} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} ; Q_{(160,160)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (0,0), (0,1), (1,0), (1,1), (80,80), (0,2), (1,2), (80,81), (2,0), (2,1), \\ (81,80), (2,2), (81,81), (160,160) \end{array} \right\}$$

*Step 2.2.* Computation of the total number of elements in  $\Phi_2$

$$\tilde{n}_2 = 14$$

*Step 2.3.* Computation of  $p_{2,\Phi_2(j)}$  and  $R_{2,\Phi_2(j)}$  for  $Q_{\Phi_2(j)} \neq 0$  :

$$p_{2,(0,0)} = -1 ; p_{2,(80,80)} = 1 ; p_{2,(81,81)} = 1$$

$$s = 11 ; n_2 = \tilde{n}_2 - s = 14 - 11 = 3$$

*Terminate :*  $k = 2$

*OUTPUT :* The generalized inverse of  $A(s)$  will be

$$A^\dagger(s_1, s_2) = - \frac{\left( \sum_{j=1}^q A_{\Phi_A(j)}^* (s_1, s_2)^{\Phi_A(j)} \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} R_{\Phi_1(j)} (s_1, s_2)^{\Phi_1(j)} \right)}{\left( \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} p_{\Phi_2(j)} (s_1, s_2)^{\Phi_2(j)} \right)} =$$

$$= - \frac{\begin{bmatrix} \bar{s}^{80} & 0 \\ 1 & \bar{s} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 - s \bar{s} & \bar{s} \\ s & -1 - s^{80} \bar{s}^{80} \end{bmatrix}}{s^{81} \bar{s}^{81} + s^{80} \bar{s}^{80} - 1} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{|s|^{81} + |s|^{80} - 1} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{s}^{80} + |s| \bar{s}^{80} & -\bar{s}^{81} \\ 1 & |s|^{80} \bar{s} \\ -s & 1 + |s|^{80} \end{bmatrix}$$

The equation  $|s|^{81} + |s|^{80} - 1 = 0$  has only one real root  $s \cong .9914263263$ . For this special value of  $s$  the generalized inverse of  $A(s)$  will be

$$\begin{aligned}
A^+(\text{.9914263263}) &\cong - \frac{\left( \sum_{j=1}^q A_{\Phi_A(j)}^*(s_1, s_2)^{\Phi_A(j)} \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} R_{\Phi_0(j)}(s_1, s_2)^{\Phi_0(j)} \right)}{\left( \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} p_{\Phi_1(j)}(s_1, s_2)^{\Phi_1(j)} \right)} = \\
&\cong - \frac{\begin{bmatrix} .9914263263^{80} & 0 \\ 1 & .9914263263 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}{-2 - .9914263263 - .9914263263^{80}} = \\
&\cong \begin{bmatrix} .14373587988598488107 & 0 \\ .286239414484628459 & .28378529114475820093 \\ 0 & .286239414484628459 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

The number of matrices used for the evaluation of the generalized inverse is

$$n_A + \tilde{n}_{AA^*} + \max \left\{ \tilde{n}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \tilde{n}_i = 3 + 9 + \max \{5, 14\} + 5 = 31$$

instead of 45604 which we have mentioned in the Introduction and Example 1.

## 6 Conclusions

Two recursive algorithms for the evaluation of the generalized inverse of one-variable and two-variable nonsquare polynomial matrices have been evaluated. These algorithms consists improvements of the corresponding algorithms presented in [5] and [6]. The whole theory has been illustrated via an example. The above results may also be extended to the  $n$ th-variable case where  $n > 2$  while the evaluation of the Laurent expansion of the generalized inverse on both cases is under research.

## References

- [1] Decell H.P., 1965, An application of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem to generalized matrix inversion, *SIAM Review*, Vol.7, pp.526-528.
- [2] Fragulis G., Mertzios B.G. and Vardulakis A.I.G., 1991, Computation of the inverse of a polynomial matrix and evaluation of its Laurent expansion, *Int. J. Control*, Vol.53, pp.431-443.
- [3] Jones J., Karampetakis N.P. and Pugh A.C., 1996, Computation of the generalized inverse of a rational matrix via MAPLE and applications, *Proceedings of the CACSD-96*.
- [4] Karampetakis N.P., Mertzios B.G. and Vardulakis A.I.G., 1994, Computation of the transfer function matrix and its Laurent expansion of generalized two-dimensional systems, *Int. J. Control*, Vol.60, pp.521-541.
- [5] Karampetakis N.P., 1997, Computation of the generalized inverse of a polynomial matrix and applications., *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, Vol. 252, pp.35-60.
- [6] Karampetakis N.P., 1997, Generalized inverses of two variable polynomial matrices and applications, *Circuit Systems & Signal Process*, Vo.16, No.4, pp.439-453.
- [7] Kucera V., 1993, Diophantine equations in Control - A survey, *Automatica*, Vol.29, pp.1361-1375.
- [8] Lovass Nagy V., Miller R. and Powers D., 1976, Research Note : On the application of matrix generalized inverses to the construction of inverse systems., *Int. J. Control*, Vol.24, pp.733-739.
- [9] Lovass Nagy V., Miller R. and Powers D., 1978, Transfer function matrix synthesis by matrix generalized inverses, *Int. J. Control*, Vol.27, pp.387-391.
- [10] Lovass Nagy V., Miller R. and Powers D., 1978, An introduction to the application of the simplest matrix generalized inverse in system science, *IEEE Trans. on Auto. Control*, Vol.25, pp.766-771.

- [11] Penrose R., 1955, A generalized inverse for matrices, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, Vol.51, pp.406-413.